UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/535,351	04/14/2006	Samuel R. Denmeade	70778.00202.UTL1 7060		
	7590	EXAMINER			
875 15th Street,	, NW	AUDET, MAURY A			
Washington, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1654		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/08/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/535,35		DENMEADE ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		MAURY AL	JDET	1654				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apr Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- eply received by the Office later than three months after the mail and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THI 1.136(a). In no ever od will apply and will ute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION  at, however, may a reply be time  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cation to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	May 2005						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		nis action is no	n-final					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) X	Claim(s) 1-75 is/are pending in the applicatio	nn						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-75</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election real	uirement.					
·		0.000.011 1040						
	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)[	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some coll None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 'No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

Application/Control Number: 10/535,351 Page 2

Art Unit: 1654

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Claims 1-19, drawn to a distinct peptide comprising a distinct amino acid sequence of the formula G-K-A-X-X, wherein at least one of X1-X3 is arginine.
- II. Claim 20, drawn to a polynucleotide encoding a distinct peptide comprising a distinct amino acid sequence of the formula G-K-A-X-X-X, wherein at least one of X1-X3 is arginine.
- III. Claims 21-53, drawn to a composition comprising a peptide comprising a distinct amino acid sequence of the formula G-K-A-X-X, wherein at least one of X1-X3 is arginine.
- IV. Claim 54-57, drawn to a method of producing a prodrug comprising a distinct peptide comprising a distinct amino acid sequence of the formula G-K-A-X-X, wherein at least one of X1-X3 is arginine.
- V. Claims 58-64, drawn to a method of treating an hK2-producing cells disorder comprising a distinct peptide comprising a distinct amino acid sequence of the formula G-K-A-X-X, wherein at least one of X1-X3 is arginine.
- VI. Claims 65-71, drawn to a method of detecting hK2-producing tissue comprising a distinct peptide comprising a distinct amino acid sequence of the formula G-K-A-X-X, wherein at least one of X1-X3 is arginine.
- VII. Claims 72, drawn to a method of selecting a hK2 activatable prodrug, comprising a

Art Unit: 1654

distinct amino acid sequence of the formula G-K-A-X-X, wherein at least one of X1-X3 is arginine.

Page 3

VIII. Claims 73, drawn to a method of determining the activity of hK2 in a sample containing hK2, comprising a distinct peptide comprising a distinct amino acid sequence of the formula G-K-A-X-X, wherein at least one of X1-X3 is arginine.

- IX. Claims 74, drawn to a method of imaging hK2-producing tissue comprising a distinct peptide comprising a distinct amino acid sequence of the formula G-K-A-X-X, wherein at least one of X1-X3 is arginine.
- X. Claims 75, drawn to a method of identifying a peptide sequence which can be a substrate for hK2 comprising a distinct peptide comprising a distinct amino acid sequence of the formula G-K-A-X-X, wherein at least one of X1-X3 is arginine.

## Lack of Unity

An international and a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. An international or a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories: (1) a product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or (2) a product and a process of use of said product; or (3) a product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or (4) a process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or (5) a product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process. If multiple products, processes of manufacture or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application and the first recited invention of each of the other categories related thereto will be considered as the main invention in the claims, see PCT Article 17(3)(a) and 1.476(c).

# Lack of Unity-No Special Technical Feature

The inventions are independently drawn to a markush group of distinct peptides (comprising short 6-residue peptides). The technical feature of these peptide, their fixed common core is Gly-Lys-Ala. However, this 3mer was known as far back as 1998 in a peptide described in Still et al., US 5,804,563, see Table 3. Therefore, there exists no 'special' technical feature, as this technical feature that runs through the inventions. Thus, the claims lack unity of invention.

# Requirement for Single Peptide (or Nucleic Acid Ecoding Same) Election as the Invention

An individual sequence and/or structure search is required of each distinct compound of the invention. Therefore, irrespective of which Group of I-X is elected as the invention, Applicant must elect a single peptide sequence (or nucleic acid encoding same), as the invention, to which the elected Invention group will be searched.

This requirement is not to be taken as an election of species, but rather as an election of a single invention, since each compound is assumed to be a patentably distinct invention, in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CRF 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Art Unit: 1654

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## In re Ochiai/Brouwer Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to

retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so** 

may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maury Audet whose telephone number is 571-272-0960. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 7AM-5:30PM (10 Hrs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Maury Audet/ Examiner, Art Unit 1654